

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_96583
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and characterization studies of 5-chloro 2-furaldehyde thiosemicarbazone and its Ni, Cu and Zn complex
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have a suggestion for additional references, please mention it in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This paper is about the synthesis of metal complexes Yes Add more points need correction in all sections, scheme must be provided, Yes All references must be in a specific format and should be aligned with Mendeley software: it should be in a uniform style Add the Uv spectrum and explain the conjugation, poor characterization, and typographic errors To my knowledge this paper is not acceptable in this format it needs substantial revision such as detailed characterization and presentation of data and need updated references	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammar checking is needed	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Shakina
Department, University & Country	Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, India