

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Chemical Science International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CSIJ_96284</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Relationship between major properties of the soil and micronutrients in fertilizer and manure-impacted soils in South-South Region of Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. <b>Importance:</b> The authors investigated the influence of soil characteristics on the availability of micronutrients in agricultural soils treated with inorganic and organic manures in the Southern Area of Nigeria.</p> <p>2. <b>Title:</b> Long and preferred to be more concise as follows “<b>Soil Characteristics Interrelationship with Treated Soil Micronutrients in Nigerian Southern</b>”.</p> <p>3. <b>Abstract:</b> Improperly displayed. The authors have to provide a background on the problem of one or two short sentences before illustrating the aim. Some modifications were recommended to enhance the readability and understanding.</p> <p>4. <b>Structure of the manuscript:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Keywords:</b> Please rearrange in alphabetical order.</li> <li><b>Introduction:</b> Improperly arranged. Preferred to rearrange the introduction into three paragraphs only i.e., 1. Introduction 2. Significance of the study, and 3. Aim of the study. Some modifications were suggested to enhance readability and understanding.</li> <li><b>The aim:</b> Clear and informative. Some modifications were suggested to enhance readability and understanding.</li> <li><b>Materials and methods:</b> Please notice the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No ethical approval number and considerations were provided.</li> <li>The authors did not provide the statistical analysis section and of course, a reference has to be provided and the statistical model used.</li> <li>Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text.</li> </ol> </li> <li><b>Results:</b> The results are novel and clear to a greater extent. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text.</li> <li><b>Discussion:</b> Clear to some extent, informative, and contribute to knowledge with moderate comparison and speculation. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text.</li> <li><b>Conclusion:</b> Clear and informative. Some modifications were suggested to enhance readability and understanding.</li> <li><b>Tables:</b> Well-presented and organized.</li> <li><b>Figures:</b> Well-presented and organized.</li> </ol> <p>5. <b>Scientific Soundness:</b> The manuscript presents a good and sound important idea with a moderate expression that requires a little bit of improvement to enhance readability and understanding.</p> <p><b>References:</b> Sufficient as 53.3% (48 out of 90) of the listed references were published in the past five years.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>General view:</b> The manuscript was written in moderate English and grammar. A certain degree of copyediting and proofreading have to be carried out before resubmission to achieve publishing value.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Essam Samir Soliman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Suez Canal University, Egypt</b>