

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_96283
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth performance and hematological characteristics of wistar rats fed diets formulated from three species of mollusks ( <i>Limicolaria flammea</i> , <i>Viviparus contectus</i> and <i>Egeria radiata</i> ) from Niger Delta region of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	The manuscript is important for scientific community, because discus species that are used for rate diet study can be used properly in health maintenance formulations.  The title of the article is suitable  Abstract of the article is comprehensive  Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate  Manuscript is scientifically correct further more significant research  The reference style should be as per journal guidelines, use recent and add more references  In 2.1 Subsection following sentence used, replace word <b>ground</b> by <b>grind</b>  The samples were then <b>ground</b> to fine powder in an electric mill	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language quality of the article is suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	----Nil-----	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhimraj Gawade
Department, University & Country	Anandrao Dhonde Alias Babaji Mahavidyalaya, India