

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_96191
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant Activity-Guided Isolation of Phenolic Compounds from <i>Vitex pubescens</i> Vahl Leaves
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes The title of the work is incorrect, I recommend changing the title to: Antioxidant Activity-Guided Isolation of Phenolic Compounds from Leaves of <i>Vitex pinnata</i> (Lamiaceae) Yes Yes No</p> <p>Mastura, M., Barus, T., Marpaung, L., & Simanjuntak, P. (2020). Isolation and Antioxidant Activity of Phenolic Compounds from Halban Leaves (<i>Vitex pinnata</i> Linn) in Aceh. <i>Elkawnie: Journal of Islamic Science and Technology</i>, 6(2), 213-221.</p> <p>Shafie, N. A., Suhaili, N. A., Taha, H., & Ahmad, N. (2020). Evaluation of antioxidant, antibacterial and wound healing activities of <i>Vitex pinnata</i>. <i>F1000Research</i>, 9. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.21310.2</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Dear Authors and Editors, I have carefully read your MS submitted for consideration in the Chemical Science International Journal. This manuscript is generally well-written, logically structured, and easy to understand. It also addresses a subject of great interest in the scientific community. The work's title in the current version is incorrect because the species name used is an old synonym (I wrote the details in the text of the article). The abstract and the introduction chapter are well-written and provide an excellent background to the research but should be significantly supplemented. I recommend a serious major revision of this article in its current version. I used the proofreading tool. Some minor adjustments were made directly to the text. Other suggested adjustments are in the comments throughout the text. These suggestions are in the file attached to this opinion.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anna Jakubska-Busse
Department, University & Country	University of Wroclaw, Poland