

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CJAST_96915 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of INM Practices on the Quality Parameters in Tomato (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> L.) under Southern Rajasthan Conditions |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Results of the review of manuscripts with the title: EFFECT OF INM PRACTICES ON QUALITY PARAMETERS IN TOMATO (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> L.) UNDER SOUTHERN RAJASTHAN CONDITIONS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In INTRODUCTION it is stated that the contribution of tomatoes from Rajasthan in production is only 1.15% compared to India's total production in 2021-22. This showed is too low so production needs to be increased in the coming year. Improvements can be made by implementing INM 2. The background in number 1 was not answered from this study because it turned out that only quality parameters were observed. 3. Abbreviations such as: RDF, PSB, VC, FYM, PM, etc. for the first writing must be complete, then the abbreviations are placed in brackets. Example: Farm Yard Manure (FYM), it is sufficient to write only the abbreviation FYM, 4. There are no research results on the use of all nutrient sources used as treatments in relation to the quality of tomatoes. 5. At point 2.3 the description of the treatment combination is no longer necessary because it is already in Table 1 ahead. 6. In conclusion, it is stated that T11 is a treatment that produces tomato growth and yield.... In fact, from this study there were no observations about growth. 7. Treatment T2 can also be considered good because it contains the highest ascorbic acid. | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Jeany Mandang |
| Department, University & Country | Sam Ratulangi University, Indonesia |