

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_96804
Title of the Manuscript:	Dynamics in Surface and Groundwater Irrigation Systems across Districts of Andhra Pradesh, India: An Economic Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Irrigation and its management in agricultural systems are very important at the global scale. This study is important for this reason. 2. title of the article is suitable 3. abstract of the article is suitable and expresses a summary of the research. 4. subsections of the article have a proper structure, but the author can do better in presenting comprehensive results. 5. Yes, a suitable scientific method has been used in the experiment 6. The author can benefit more from new references in the last 5 years	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	-yes/ English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	-keywords of the article should be written regular and alphabetically - Use references from the past 5 years. -Modify the references format based on the journal format - Digital Object Identifier (Doi) for all references should be checked and write (We have reviewed them for more examples and brought them to you in the comment section.) -Edit spelling mistakes in the reference list -Write the full name of the journal in reference list	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hadi Khavari
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran