

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_96417
Title of the Manuscript:	A RARE SUPRA-VENTRICULAR ARRHYTHMIA REVEALING A LEFT VENTRICULAR NON-COMPACTION CARDIOMYOPATHY IN A YOUNG WOMAN. CASE REPORT.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it presents a rare case of left ventricular non-compaction cardiomyopathy (LVNC) in a young woman revealed by frequent persistent supraventricular tachycardia. LVNC is a congenital cardiomyopathy with a low incidence, and this case highlights the need for better diagnostic techniques and the importance of considering this diagnosis in young healthy individuals presenting with supraventricular arrhythmias.</p> <p>The title of the article is appropriate. A possible alternative topic for this manuscript could be: "A Young Healthy Woman with Supraventricular Tachycardia Reveals an Underlying Left Ventricular Non-Compaction Cardiomyopathy: A Rare Case Report". This title highlights the significance of the case and focuses on the relationship between supraventricular tachycardia and LVNC cardiomyopathy.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive as it provides a brief overview of the background of LVNC, the clinical manifestations, and the diagnosis of the disease, as well as the case report of the young woman with frequent persistent supraventricular tachycardia revealing LVNC cardiomyopathy.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, as it is divided into introduction, case report, discussion, and conclusion sections that are well-structured and easy to follow.</p> <p>Based on the information presented in the manuscript, the study seems scientifically correct. The authors have provided a thorough description of the case and have supported their findings with relevant literature.</p> <p>The references appear to be sufficient in number and recent. Additional references on the topic could potentially improve the robustness of the article and strengthen its arguments.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the article appears to be suitable for scholarly communications, as it uses proper grammar, syntax, and technical terms.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Spelling errors have been corrected throughout the manuscript (highlighted the same)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K.Sankar
Department, University & Country	Aarupadai Veedu Medical College and Hospital, India