

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_96164
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Aloe Vera biodiesel blends with TiO2 on the emission and performance characteristics of a DI diesel engine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. <b>Yes. The topic explored by the authors is important and relevant to the scientific community</b> 2. <b>Yes</b> 3. <b>Yes</b> 4. <b>I would suggest that the authors include a "Conclusion" subsection at the end of the paper to wrap up their work.</b> 5. <b>Yes</b> 6. <b>Yes, both sufficient and recent. However, I found that the authors did not put the in-text link to the references, i.e. [1] to Reference 1, [2] to Reference 2 etc.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes. Acceptable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I found some typographical errors in the text (as highlighted in the revised document)	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Azizul Bin Mohamad
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Perlis, Malaysia