

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_96245
Title of the Manuscript:	Clique Centrality and Global Clique Centrality of Graphs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	1. The manuscript is very interesting, sufficiently well made, technically sound and very clear. 2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable. 3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive 4. The subsections and structures are appropriate 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct 6. The references are sufficient	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Page 2 – Example 2 – 2 <sup>nd</sup> line - $W_3 = \{u_1, u_2, u_5, u_6\}$ In figure - $G_3$ – the vertex $u_4$ should be $u_6$ .	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. Meena
Department, University & Country	The M. D. T. Hindu College, India