

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_96163
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EXTENSION OF DIAGRAM GROUP OVER SEMIGROUP PRESENTATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Graph theoretical and geometrical methods have played an important role in the development of semigroup presentation and diagram groups. This study addresses a new method for studying diagram groups from union of two semigroup presentations by adding a relation. 2. I think that the title of this article is suitable. 3. The abstract could be more comprehensive. 4. The Conclusion section is not included. 5. For my opinion, it is a well studied and presented work. 6. More recent references are needed.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	More attention may be paid in the construction of the phrases, in the right use of punctuation signs, etc.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jollanda Shara
Department, University & Country	University "Eqrem Cabej", Albania