

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_95878
Title of the Manuscript:	DIPLOMACY AMONG NATIONS: CASE STUDY MALAYSIA AND NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Policy Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>-----</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>-The diplomacy concept cannot be a terminology scientifically approved or tested and it can be a case study</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- DIPLOMACY AMONG NATIONS: Comparative study MALAYSIA AND NIGERIA</li> <li>- The abstract is not suitable to a scholarly article</li> <li>- Not found clearly</li> <li>- No</li> <li>- No</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	language/English quality of the article not suitable for scholarly manuscript	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ The author should change the study title</li> <li>✓ The style of citation should be reconsidered</li> <li>✓ The references list should be developed further</li> <li>✓ All paper citations must be at the end of each page</li> <li>✓ The content of the paper reflects a comparative analysis, not a case study</li> <li>✓ The author must be qualified for getting the comparative methodology for deep analysis</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Milad Elharathi
Department, University & Country	Gelisim Istanbul University, Libya