

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_96368
Title of the Manuscript:	Eco-friendly management of fruit fly (<i>Bactrocera cucurbitae</i>) on infesting Bitter gourd
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalapri.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, it is important because it determines the most efficient methodology to control <i>Bactrocera cucurbita</i> in Bitter gourd infestations with environmentally friendly management.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. The results section in the abstrac could be more concrete. And the conclusion is not complete.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes, I think that is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are recent and are sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes, the language is suitable for a publication</p> <p>In RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: Figure 1 does not explain the letters that are next to the number of captures</p> <p>In Obtained healthy fruit and its weight in the experimental field: There is an extra periot in the sentence: "result after their study. whereas the minimum"</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: It is advisable to place a graph with the results of each results section, since it allows to compare the trials more easily.</p> <p>In all trials the order of efficiency in the control of <i>Bactrocera cucurbita</i> was: T2>T4>T3>T5>T1>T6. I think it is advisable to discuss this aspect.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bernardo A. Leal Carrasco
Department, University & Country	UNEXPO, Venezuela