

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_96708
Title of the Manuscript:	AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY TO EVALUATE THE ACTION OF ZINCUM PHOSPHORICUM OF VARIOUS POTENCIES AGAINST BORON INDUCED TOXICITY IN SOLANUM LYCOPERSICUM THROUGH HYDROPHONIC SYSTEM.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajsspn.com/index.php/AJSSPN/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Abstract</b> Partly okay</p> <p><b>Introduction</b> Need some information relevant to the study</p> <p><b>Materials and methods</b> Need modification</p> <p><b>Results</b> The table and graph quality are not quite enough for the article</p> <p><b>Discussion</b> Too short. Need more relevant information in this regards</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b> Need modification</p> <p><b>References</b> Reference style are not in satisfactory level</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Sentence sometimes is not correct. There is some grammar mistake. The author did not follow the author's guideline accurately. It was very difficult to understand the write-up of the article. There is no harmony in the sentences before and after. The setup of the word file was not correct.</p> <p>Therefore, need major revision to publish this article in your reputed journal.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Younus Ali
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Agricultural University, Bangladesh