

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_96408
Title of the Manuscript:	Intestinal Obstruction Caused by Small Bowel Volvulus
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes yes, the manuscript is important and is very necessary because it is a case study where it serves as a basis for experimental research studies in the area of health sciences 2. Yes. Strongly recommended 3. And yes. Summarizes the content of the case study very well 4. yes they are appropriate 5. Yes, as previously mentioned, the case study is very important for the scientific community 6. They are sufficient and in line with the topic 'discussion' addressed	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, I believe that the language quality is suitable for academic publications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alan Kelbis Oliveira Lima
Department, University & Country	Institute of Biology, University of Brasília, Brazil