

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_96557
Title of the Manuscript:	A rare bisalbuminemia etiology: Cholestatic hepatitis case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrga.com/index.php/AJRRGA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	YES YES NO – NEEDS TO MAKE MORE COMPREHENSIVE WITH IMPORTANT DETAILS AND CONCLUSION AT THE END – ADD MORE KEY WORDS NO – DISCUSSION SHOULD BE COMPREHENSIVE, CONTINING DEFINIATION OF DISEASE, EPIDEMIOLOGY, LITERATURE REVIEW, RATIONALE OF PRESENTING THIS CASE REPORT , ITS IMPORTANCE AND HOW IT AFFECT THE RECENT PRACTICE AND KNOWLEDGE THE DISCUSSION IS TOO BRIEF, NEEDS EXTENSIVE LITERATURE REVIEW , COVERING CLINICL FEATURES, PRESENTATION, EXAMINATION FINDINGS, DIAGNOSIS TREATMENT . ALL ASPECTS SHOULD BE WITH LATEST /RECENT LITERATURE REVIEW AND ADVANCES NO – NEEDS TO IMPROVE DISCUSSION, CLINICAL PRESENTATION AND INTRODUCTION ADD MORE LATEST REF – AT LEAST 20 REF IN TOTAL	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	NO – SENTENCES SHOULD BE CRISPY, COHERENT NEEDS NPUT FROM LANGUAGE EXPERT	
Optional/General comments	CHECK FOR GRAMMER , SENTNECE FORMATION AT PLACES	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ishtiaq Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Isra University, Al-Nafees Medical College, Pakistan