

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_96274
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relationship Between Older Adults with Type 2 Diabetes, Depression, and Dementia: The Role of Inflammation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrre.com/index.php/AJRRE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Looking to the diabetic spread, worldwide, it's other effects needs to understand and have precautionary steps for better future of human kind Yes Conclusion is an independent section and should not be part of "Abstract" Yes Yes 1) Citations 12, 20 and 21 are not included in paper 2) Citation number 28 included in paper but not listed in the list of "References" 1) Equations used in Section 2.6 should be numbered 2) Table should have column and row linings for better clarity of table data 3) Font of Abstract, Keyword and References should be smaller than the Main paper body	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1) Paper should be written in "passive voice, present tense", words like "WAS", "WILL" etc should not be used	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amipara
Department, University & Country	GTU, India