

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRN_96621
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between intra-renal resistive index and markers of renal function status in type 2 diabetics in Southern Nigeria
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRN/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. It can be modified – Relationship between intra- renal resistive index , estimated glomerular filtration rate(eGFR) and Urine albumin-creatinine ratio (UACR) in type 2 diabetics for evaluation of Diabetic nephropathy in Southern Nigeria</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Introduction: too lengthy , make it small Material and methods : also lengthy, make small by eliminating sentences regarding the methods of measuring weight, height, blood pressure, ultrasound. Write full form of HIVAN , it not mentioned anywhere Want to know and need clarification regarding the importance of taking blood pressure in all participants at time of enrolment because there were two groups of participants of hypertensive and non-hypertensive and author not counting BP reading in result section. No discussion regarding other blood investigation so no need to mention in methods part like- lipid profile and uric acid. Similarly no discussion regarding USG finding so need to eliminate. Results : statistics should be checked by Statesian . mean age of participants is different in results and in introduction- kindly correct it. Figure 1 is not needed as it it not discussed any where in manuscript. Table 2 partially needed. USG finding are not needed- it unnecessarily lengthens the manuscript. Discussion : more of review of literature kind discussion, not of reality . need to organize properly.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. References : so much in numbers kindly reduced it</p>	ii
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kajal Patel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>IKDRC, India</b>