

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_96207
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude and Practices among population in Relation to Sustainable Development Goal 6 in Tamale
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Yes, This research wants to see a global program implemented in a region including support from the community 2. Yes, the title suitable with the content 3. In the abstract it is necessary to convey the place and time of the research including the criteria for the sample 4. Dalam methods and material : It is necessary to write down the inclusion and exclusion criteria in detail, as well as the minimum number of samples required compared to the total population. The writing of the citations on this manuscript is not yet in the Vancouver style 5. Regarding the questionnaire, is it a standard questionnaire? has it been validated? who did the data collection? were the officers trained before collecting the data? a valid questionnaire will make the research valid There is a gap in the level of education of the respondents, does education play no role in their behavior or attitude towards the MDGs. Was the interview conducted online or offline? In conclusion, there is no need to convey other people's research, it is enough to conclude from this research, if you want to discuss it, include it in the discussion 6. Writing references preferably in the Vancouver style 7. <b>writing conclusions that are too long would be better if discussed and included in the discussion .</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	pretty good english	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Important research for evaluation global program	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Wulandewi Marhaeni</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Lambung Mangkurat, Indonesia</b>