

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_96207
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude and Practices among population in Relation to Sustainable Development Goal 6 in Tamale
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes. First, the SDG 6 is an extreme important goal for countries in Central and South Americas, Africa and Asia. Second, the attention given to Muslims leaders as actors that can help raise awareness on sanitation and hygiene is also relevant to implementation of sanitation public policies. 2. No. Alternative title could be: Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices among Muslim leaders in Relation to Sustainable Development Goal 6 in Tamale 3. Yes. It lacks the information about the period in which the research/the data collection was done. 4. The manuscript lacks a section on the importance of social/political/religious actors in implementing public policies would be necessary. I consider that the analysis of the data could be enriched with a dialogue with such theoretical reflection. Furthermore, the analysis does not deal with the data collected by interviewees. In other words, the qualitative data was not adequately presented in the manuscript. 5. Yes. However, I think it needs to improve the theoretical section and the data analysis section. 6. I consider that the manuscript established a dialogue with others papers in the data analysis section. In terms of public policies, it is an attempt to compare with other countries, which is something relevant for Public Administration.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	I present my comments above. I would like to stress that the manuscript may be an opportunity to show the relevance of the role of religious leaders in processes of improvement of people's lives in countries where religions plays an important role in their daily life.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ivan Beck Ckagnazaroff
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil