

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_96654
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of molecular data of some species of genus Junonia butterfly using RAPD technique
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajriz.com/index.php/AJRIZ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comments	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Yes</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? No, because the author did not mention any ethical approval, funding source, and acknowledgments</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. To some extend (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Line 7-8: Abstract: The author must define the abbreviations first and then abbreviate throughout the manuscript for example OPA-1, OPA-2, OPA-3, OPA-4, OPA-5, OPP-9, OPP-18, OPN-17, OPN-16 through RAPD</p> <p>Grammatical corrections for the abstract are needed.</p> <p>Line 9: The author should put the keywords in alphabetical order. Line 12-27: Introduction. Need to be revised grammatically and in English as well. Authors may see some corrections that I have made both in the abstract and introduction.</p> <p>Line 37: Specimen: Authors mention just cited the reference for the protocol use (Reference 11) without any information. The author must describe briefly the protocol used so that will apply to all protocols used in the materials and methods section. For example (1), (4), and (10).</p> <p>Line 51: PCR Primers: Authors must mention the gene accession number for all those primers used. The authors did not mention the product size for some genes. Why?</p> <p>Discussion: The authors should compare their results with previous studies and must justify why agree or disagree with citations.</p> <p>The manuscript needs to be improved especially in general and scientific English and grammar as well.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? No</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdelkareem Abdallah Ahmed Abdallah
Department, University & Country	BUAN, Botswana