

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_96373
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW ON BENZOTHAZOLE AND ITS DERIVATIVES IN MEDICAL AND PHARMACEUTICAL FIELD
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. After major revision the manuscript meet the publication criteria otherwise in the present condition it is not suitable for publication.</p> <p>2. Short review status of manuscripts, the title must be logical and related to the field like " A mini review on benzothiazole derivatives and its biological significances" OR "A mini review; Synthesis and biological impact of Benzothiazole derivatives".</p> <p>3. Rewrite the abstract section which provides the strong attention about the section under discussed. Abstract must contain active compounds and their inhibitory ranges which got the substantial attention of the people.</p> <p>4. Section such as "Benzothiazole derivatives" must contain sub-section for different biological activities including anti-microbial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory etc. Moreover, Caption with proper number e.g (Figure-1: Represent the antimicrobial activity of benzothiazole derivative) under the structure should be written which clearly indicates the inhibitory activity of the compounds.</p> <p>5. Rewrite the section which clearly indicates the standard drugs and their impact on the inhibition of strains. Changes are necessary in the section "Antimicrobial activity was checked by using different bacterial and fungal strains and also by in-vitro disc-diffusion methods. Ciprofloxacin as a standard anti-bacterial and fluconazole as a standard anti-fungal drug was used".</p> <p>6. Please add some latest references and also mention in the introduction and the activities section.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Check thoroughly the manuscript to make it more cleared.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>7. Write with logic terms for "Anti-bacterial,anti-fungal activity was screened using different microbial strains ?"</p> <p>8. Give proper reason why Fluorine substituted benzothiazole exhibits most potent activity against leukemia in compare to non-fluorinated derivatives which make it more intrinsic.</p> <p>9. Time of reaction procedure should be provided in the given method which easily understands by the reader as necessary information.</p> <p>10. Conclusion section: author should write all the information about the mentions compounds and their bio-active nature along with its comparison study of standard drug.</p> <p>11. Select and write journal format for referencing.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shoaib Khan
Department, University & Country	Hazara University Mansehra, Pakistan