

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_96142
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of Covid -19 Detailed study of History, Life cycle, Diagnosis, Prevention and Treatment of Corona virus.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, it emphasizes the importance of basic molecular science</p> <p>Yes, except the word treatment is best deleted as all the treatments mentioned are already outdated</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Some adjustments need to be made as indicated in my corrections (marked in green)</p> <p>Some parts are incomprehensible and I have made corrections on the English expressions.</p> <p>Only sufficiently recent in terms of mid 2020, the time when the paper was written</p> <p>This paper only came to me in 2023, a delay of 2 years. I believe it has been previously rejected by other journals and resubmitted here.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. Many parts are confusing and incomprehensible or misleading. I have made extensive efforts of correction to make them readable.	
Optional/General comments	My first reaction is to reject this paper because of the poor quality of its English, and its being out-dated. But on second thought I think it conveys an important message, that molecular science should form the basis of our understanding of this pandemic, and that is a truth that cannot be out-dated. In fact, I wish many of our COVID-19 fighters should have heeded this basic principle. That is why I spent a lot of time and effort to salvage this paper and make it readable and reconciled with our present knowledge of the disease. I understand my effort might be all wasted and this paper might still be rejected in the end. But in fighting this pandemic every effort is worth a try.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John S M Leung
Department, University & Country	St. Paul's Hospital, China