

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_95629
Title of the Manuscript:	Covid-19 Vaccination Concerns: Perspectives of Youths in a Developing Nation's Context
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- The work presented in this manuscript is no doubt valuable addition in the field. 2- Yes , the title of the article suitable. 3- Yes , the title of the article suitable. 4- Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate. 5- Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6- Yes , the references sufficient. Nots: -This research article delivers the new idea of covid-19. - In abstract section : correct sample number to 613 . -In the result section, data are properly analyzed along with appropriate results, and the discussion section was presented well with updated information. The tables are well arranged and presented. The work is somewhat instructive with scientific relevance.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fahad K.Y. Al-Dulaimi
Department, University & Country	Northern Technical University, Iraq