

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_96073
Title of the Manuscript:	Maize Production Efficiency in Southern Ethiopia: The Stochastic Frontier Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Maize Production Efficiency in Southern Ethiopia: A Stochastic Frontier Analysis Yes Sub-headings need to be concise Yes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More citation needs to be included in the introduction. • Write the implications of this study and contributions to the present body of knowledge • Review of literature need to added in the result and discussion part. • Discussion of result is lacking 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some grammatical errors please rectify the errors and crosscheck. Re-write the complex sentences into simpler forms	
Optional/General comments	Recommendations should be come out from the study itself, not a general recommendation	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Poulami Ray
Department, University & Country	ICAR- National Dairy Research Institute, India