

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_96436
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Girdling and Paclobutrazol on Growth, Plant Physiology, Inflorescence and Fruiting of Mangifera indica cv. Harumanis in Agroclimatic-zone 3 of Peninsular Malaysia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The article can help the breeders to improve the quality of the fruit in addition to helping to reduce the branch failure. Pruning is a hard physical procedure that is difficult to do on trees and often causes more harm than good to the trees because it requires a lot of knowledge and experience because there is a gap between physical growth and reproductive growth. Balancing the results is difficult, but if these results can be further evaluated and generalized through paclobutrazol, it will be an important achievement. The title of the article is fully consistent with the content of the article and the title includes all the content of the article. The summary of the article is also comprehensively written and each section is well and properly placed and I think it does not need any additional explanation. The internal headings of the article are also well written clearly and consistently. From a scientific point of view, the structure of the article is correct and no particular problem is seen in it. In my opinion, the sources used to make the article authentic are both sufficient and fresh, because this topic has been started for a long time, so the old sources have also been used in the basics. In my opinion, if the suggestions for future research are placed in the 4th section or the conclusion, it will increase the quality of the article.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the quality of the language of the article is also scientifically good and it presents the topic clearly to the readers	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hedayatullah Salari
Department, University & Country	Paktia University, Afghanistan