

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_96436
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Girdling and Paclobutrazol on Growth, Plant Physiology, Inflorescence and Fruiting of Mangifera indica cv. Harumanis in Agroclimatic-zone 3 of Peninsular Malaysia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? It needs some additions and corrections 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1.The importance of this study represents by aiming the effect of girdling, paclobutrazol application, combined methods, and untreated trees on physiological response, vegetative and reproductive growth, and fruiting. 2.I think that the discussion needs more explanations of the result obtained. 3.It is recommended that at the end of the results you can add a diagram containing the conclusion of the average data of the research results. 4.The conclusion is brief. It would be better to emphasize the impact and role of the results. 5.Based on the conclusions of the research, the authors need to add a description of suggestions and added value for other researchers or readers	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhia Ahmed Taain
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, Iraq