

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_96203
Title of the Manuscript:	Biosynthesis of Aloe Vera with Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles (Zno-Nps) for Burn Wound Treatment in Rabbit Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajriz.com/index.php/AJRIZ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for the scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>It is a fascinating study, but the results and methodology do not correspond to the name of the manuscript. The name of the manuscript must be changed, or all the methodological parts and results of the biosynthesis of the nanoparticles must be added. Likewise, writing, punctuation marks, etc., should be improved.</p> <p>English requires a lot of revision.</p> <p>Why are the references placed in red?</p> <p>Could you explain how you prepared the formulation?</p> <p>Place as formulated the nanoparticles</p> <p>Don't you have TEM photos of the Np?</p> <p>Where is the physicochemical characterization of these Np?</p> <p>Present photos of the improvement of the animals.</p> <p>Don't you have a histological analysis of the skin of the mice to see the improvement microscopically?</p> <p>The conclusions are very poor</p>	<p>It is a fascinating study, but the results and methodology do not correspond to the name of the manuscript. The name of the manuscript must be changed, or all the methodological parts and results of the biosynthesis of the nanoparticles must be added. Likewise, writing, punctuation marks, etc., should be improved.</p> <p>English requires a lot of revision.</p> <p>Why are the references placed in red?</p> <p>Could you explain how you prepared the formulation?</p> <p>Place as formulated the nanoparticles</p> <p>Don't you have TEM photos of the Np?</p> <p>Where is the physicochemical characterization of these Np?</p> <p>Present photos of the improvement of the animals.</p> <p>Don't you have a histological analysis of the skin of the mice to see the improvement microscopically?</p> <p>The conclusions are very poor</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	No	No	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>			

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lilian Elisa Sosa Díaz</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Autonomous National University of Honduras, Honduras</b>