

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_95971
Title of the Manuscript:	CROP DIVERSIFICATION AND SUSTAINABILITY IN A COCOA AGROFORESTRY SYSTEM IN MEME DIVISION SOUTH WEST REGION, CAMEROON
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The paper is good for publication. But if the abstract is shortened and with 10-15 lines, it looks good. The author may write the following in the abstract: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. One or two sentences for Introduction. 2. One sentence for Objective. 3. Two sentences for method and materials. 4. One sentence for finding and 5. One sentence for conclusion. 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Okay	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ram Krishna Mandal
Department, University & Country	Jamini Mazumder Memorial College, India