

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_95835
Title of the Manuscript:	THE IMPORTANCE OF COMMUNITY EMPOWERMENT THROUGH STRENGTHENING FARMING GROUP IN THE FOREST MANAGEMENT UNIT (FMU) WAY TERUSAN CENTRAL LAMPUNG, LAMPUNG PROVINCE INDONESIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Need to write paper again as per the author's guidelines of the journal . 2. Write down the abstract as per introduction ,objectives, methodology result and discussion and conclusions. 3. lots of grammatical mistakes in the paper so please correct it. 4 Write down the introduction again and end of the introduction highlight the objectives of the study. 5. Why you selected the area for the study? Need to write methodology in a scientific language. 6. write down the result and discussion as per the objectives and in brief ,Then add the references inside them. 7. Author didn't explained the paper properly.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kavita Digambar Chopde
Department, University & Country	SVDCA, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, India