

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_95642
Title of the Manuscript:	PREPARATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF MODIFIED BIOCHAR FROM VITEX DONIANA (BLACK PLUM) SEEDS USING CHEMICAL AND THERMAL TREATMENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes. Manuscript is written well. Results are interpreted appropriately. But typo errors are available which the authors must rectify before publishing.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>In references, typo errors are there and format need to be checked. Avoid old references.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. But spelling mistakes should be rectified.	
Optional/General comments	Figures should be clear. Clarity is figures are lacking.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Sheeja
Department, University & Country	Anna University, India