

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_95642
Title of the Manuscript:	PREPARATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF MODIFIED BIOCHAR FROM VITEX DONIANA (BLACK PLUM) SEEDS USING CHEMICAL AND THERMAL TREATMENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the work gave an of reducing pollution through the charing of agric waste</p> <p>The title is suitable</p> <p>The abstract should introduce the problem first then the methodology which they fail to do. In all they should let he abstract be within the limit of the words required. Yes, but subsections like 2.3.1 etc. should be dented and italised</p> <p>Yes, the results are outcomes from an experiment they performed Yes, the following should be cited Akolgo, G. A., Awafo, E. A., Essandoh, E. O., Owusu, P. A., Uba, F., &amp; Adu-Poku, K. A. (2021). Assessment of the potential of charred briquettes of sawdust, rice and coconut husks: Using water boiling and user acceptability tests. <i>Scientific African</i>, 12, e00789. Adu-Poku, K. A., Appiah, D., Asosega, K. A., Derkyi, N. S. A., Uba, F., Kumi, E. N., ... &amp; Gyamfi, D. (2022). Characterization of fuel and mechanical properties of charred agricultural wastes: Experimental and statistical studies. <i>Energy Reports</i>, 8, 4319-4331.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Few of the correction have been made in the manuscript	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Felix Uba</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Energy and Natural Resources, Ghana</b>