

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_96115
Title of the Manuscript:	Measurement and Analysis of Noise Levels: A study of Selected Pubs in Calabar, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajr2p.com/index.php/AJR2P/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- The results obtained by the authors of the article and the formulated conclusions show that the purpose of the article has been achieved: Measurement and Analysis of Noise Levels: A study of Selected Pubs in Calabar, Nigeria experimental studies and analysis of the results obtained are carried out. 2- The article is well structured, all sections of the article are logically interconnected, the sources cited in the reviewed article reflect the modern point of view on the problem. 3- The article explained Results show that pubs all the pubs under study were in excess of the permissible noise level recommended by NESREA.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1- You need a comparison between the Noise ratios in public places, crowded markets and kindergarten schools must be addressed. 2- I prefer to record a period of 12 hours, 4 hours, and get the noise rate to make the study more accurate	
Optional/General comments	Nothing	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khafaga Ali Salah Mahdi
Department, University & Country	Technical Institute of Babylon, Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, Iraq, Kazan National Research Technical University, Russia