

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_96570
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk factors associated with acute renal failure in neonates of a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit of a Hospital in Pachuca, Hidalgo, Mexico.
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Manuscript is very important for scientific community however; author does not look serious about his/her study.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Title of the study should have type of study, also no need to mention place of study in your title Abstract needs to be rewritten with proper guidelines. It should have introduction and rationale behind study. Aim should be clearly written. Methodology should be very specific and results need to use proper biostatistical language Introduction of the study should be limited to title of your study, rationale behind research and aim or scope of this study KDIGO definition of AKI-2012 is most recent one, and that should be followed if possible There is no terminology like uresis In methodology, type of the study (retrospective/prospective) has not been clarified Study period is not mentioned All cases with AKI stage 1 as per AKIN were included? Why water restriction will be done in new-born? How controls were taken? (1 control per one case!) Also, statistical analysis needs lots of modification, why both chi2 and fisher was applied? why not logistic regression analysis? Results needs to be rewritten. New-born women? In your first line , do you mean that you had 130 patients and out of that 65 had AKI? That is 50% incidence! Why a gender distribution plot? You need to write on demographic details table, one risk factor table Discussion, conclusion needs to be rewritten 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Lots and lots of grammatical, spelling mistakes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kinnari Vala
Department, University & Country	IKDRC, GUTS, India