

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_96570
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk factors associated with acute renal failure in neonates of a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit of a Hospital in Pachuca, Hidalgo, Mexico.
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the problem addressed is relevant to the medical community and literature regarding it is scarce. Totally suitable. Yes. No. The introduction should be more concise, for it is no a review article. Yes. The question addressed and the formulation of the methods are appropriate. Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. The author should review the grammar and writing.	
Optional/General comments	The author should consider shortening the introduction add content to the discussion and review grammar and writing style.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexander Tristancho Baró
Department, University & Country	Miguel Servet University Hospital, Spain