

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJPR_96187</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>USE OF GADGETS IN SPEECH AND LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT IN TODDLERS AT PHC SURABAYA HOSPITAL</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Overall this is a good idea and the research is very valid and up to date but the paper needs major revision in particular in language and the way it is laid out, what might help is to add a graph, also the way the table is put is a bit confusing, the conclusion needs rewriting so the reader can understand the message clearly references need to be put in Vancouver or Harvard style and the repeated numbering removed.</p> <p>Language review of the whole article by expert or use Grammarly.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Abstract: the conclusion is not clear, should say there is clear negative correlation or should indicate the correlation is between gadget use and speech and language delay.</li> <li>Introduction, language needs some editing, a better review of language is recommended</li> <li>Video games should not be included as gadgets, the gadget here is the game console</li> <li>Again, in the discussion, the correlation is not clear the statement should be as follows:  We found negative correlation between the intensive use of gadgets and speech and language development.</li> <li>In table 2 it should be normal vs abnormal speech development the word late should not be used or can be replaced by the word delayed.</li> <li>More language issues in the discussion, delays should not be plural just use developmental delay; the paper can benefit from professional English editing or the use of Grammarly.</li> <li>Some of the opinions expressed in the discussion need to be stated as opinions not intermixed with research findings, the reader can not tell for sure which part is the author opinion and which is extracted from research.</li> <li>Again, in conclusion language is not clear.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Khalid Mohamed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Qatar</b>