

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_96455
Title of the Manuscript:	ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS OF CONCEPTION IN ELDERLY WOMEN, WORLDWIDE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpcb.com/index.php/AJPCB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Obviously the topic raised in this article is very interesting.</p> <p>the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>the abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>the references are sufficient and recent to support the article</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Obviously the topic raised in this article is very interesting, I share its limitations, related to the source from which the data is obtained and within them, the omissions of some necessary data.</p> <p>It would be good to know, in the patients who were excluded from the study (deceased), the cause and its relationship with the pregnancy, in order to be able to analyze the recommendation of pregnancy at that age from an ethical point of view.</p> <p>The other ethical point to take into account in the age that is discussed in this article, is the average and quality of life of parents to take care for their child, who demands so much attention in the first years of life, as well as Who would be responsible for them in the event of the death of their parents, what psychological consequences would losing their parents at a young age bring to these children?</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wilson Laffita Labanino
Department, University & Country	International Health Services Center, Angola