

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_95544
Title of the Manuscript:	The causes of the phenomenon of low level of students in the undergraduate level compared to the secondary level
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>COMMENTS</p> <p>The manuscript may be titled: A Study on the Causes of Low Level of Students in Undergraduate Level Compared to the Secondary School Level</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>(i) The abstract as a subheading, should not be centralized and underlined. It should be on the left-hand side</p> <p>(ii) The abstract should situate the paper in a proper context; it should briefly describe the aim or motivation for the study; the objective or the method used to arrive at the results of the study. Additionally, the abstract should report the major finding of the study. And the significance of the study. The abstract should be summarized using proper English and punctuation for lucidity and understanding.</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>(i) The introduction as a subheading, shouldn't be centralized and underlined, it should be on the left-hand side</p> <p>(ii) The introduction should situate the paper in a proper context describing the idea, purpose, and significance of the study in the subject area.</p> <p>(iii) The literature is poorly presented.</p> <p>(iv) The literature is sparse and requires a major revision of current resourceful materials in the subject area</p> <p>(x) The authors should present a table of references on the causes of low level of undergraduate students compared with Secondary level</p> <p>(vi) The authors should present a conceptual framework underpinning the study to enhance the standard of the manuscript</p> <p>(vii) The authors should clearly state the structure of the paper</p> <p>(viii) The authors should identify and state variable causes of the low level of undergraduate students to the secondary school level. In other words, authors should list and itemize a referenced list of the variable causes of the disparity between the level of students at the undergraduate level and secondary school level</p> <p>Materials and Method</p> <p>(i) Materials and methods as a subheading should not be centralized</p> <p>(ii) The materials and method section should provide details of all the materials used for the study</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>(iii) This section should provide a theoretical framework of the tools used for the analysis. The authors mentioned PCA – what does PCA stand for in the study? Does it mean Principal Component Analysis? If so, what is the theory underpinning PCA? What data was used in PCA?</p> <p>How do the authors obtain the data used for the study? And so, on</p> <p>(iv) The authors should present a step-by-step flowchart of the method used to arrive at their findings</p> <p>The authors mentioned SPSS, what data do they use in the SPSS software? How was the data obtained?</p> <p>Results and Discussion</p> <p>(i) The results and discussion subheading should not be centralized and underlined, They should be on the left-hand side</p> <p>(ii) This section should discuss the finding in comparison with similar studies in the area to illustrate the scientific contribution of the work that has not been reported. It should demonstrate how it bridges the knowledge gap.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>(i) The conclusion should briefly summarize and report the major findings, the significance of the result, and possibly recommend the future research direction in the field</p> <p>Generally, the manuscript is poorly presented. Hence, I recommend major revision to improve the paper</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Ongbali Samson Oyaka</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Covenant University, Nigeria</p>