

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_95740
Title of the Manuscript:	Neurophobia: How do Nigerian Medical Students Perceive Neurology?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorin.com/index.php/AJORRIN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, it is. Yes, it is. Yes, it is. I liked very much. Yes, it is. Yes, I think so. It is a important matter in education. I found as a nice paper, it is important to see this point of view (from the authors), but I am sure must be coincidental with many "neurophobias" around the world. Congratulations is a very motivator paper, especially for people like my involving in Neurological education in a School of Medicine.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I am not a native English speaker, but I found the paper well written and very interesting in the content and in the way to express the author's ideas.	
Optional/General comments	I would like to congratulate the authors for their idea of writing such an interesting article. The paper is from a developing country, however, I am sure that several medical schools are suffering from the same problem, and the analysis of the situation in each one must be similar.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ildefonso Rodriguez Leyva
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autonoma de San Luis Potosi, Mexico