

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOGER_95982
Title of the Manuscript:	Structural Analysis of the Bagoué furrow (South Boundiali): fracturing, kinematics and chronology
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajoger.com/index.php/AJOGER/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. It can consider as good for scientific community.</p> <p>2. <b>The suggested title “Structural Analysis of the Bagoué furrow (South Boundiali)”</b> It preferable to add the tectonic location of study area at the end of title.</p> <p>3. Yes The second line of abstract, it better to be the first line. It important to mention broader implications of the key findings.</p> <p>4. Yes I suggest to add “aim of study” as individual paragraph, and include “fracturing, kinematics and chronology” from title to its context.</p> <p>5. yes</p> <p>6. yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The language is clear.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Modify the title as suggested above.</p> <p>2. The author should write brief about the tectonic setting (more details) of study area, and show the relation between the results and it.</p> <p>3. It is better to add tectonic map of study area and surrounding.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aymen Adil Lazim</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Iraq</b>