

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_96303
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Physical and Electrical Properties on the Synthesis of Manganese Oxide Carbon from Leaching Rocks as Supercapacitor Electrodes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<https://www.journalajocs.com/index.php/AJOCS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community. Synthesizing carbon manganese oxide from the leaching of manganese rock is most cost effective method. Add more points.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>5. The references are sufficient and recent except some references.</p> <p>The manuscript has been written well according to the abstract.</p> <p>Have authors designed the electrodes fulfilling all the real-time criteria. Justify the same.</p> <p>FT-IR values may be noted in their absorption wavelength.</p> <p>FTIR, XRD of MnO<sub>2</sub> before and after leaching should be provided.</p> <p>All the analytical data must be compared with the existing data.</p> <p>Some grammatical errors should be checked in the whole manuscript part</p> <p>References should be rewritten as per the Journal style.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. G. Gunasekaran
Department, University & Country	SRM Valliammai Engineering College, India