

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_96037
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of rotavirus and adenovirus in patients with acute viral gastroenteritis in Dakar, Senegal, 2018-2022
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, because gastroenteritis is an infectious disease, and epidemiological studies will benefit the community by defining the risk factors, improving efficacy through mass vaccination, and producing new vaccines. Furthermore, to aid in effective disease management and the prevention of large-scale outbreaks.</p> <p>2- Prevalence of rotavirus and adenovirus in children with acute gastroenteritis in Dakar, Senegal, 2018-2022</p> <p>3- Yes.</p> <p>4- Yes, but please see the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Writing issues and English correction completed and already done (I changed it and wrote it in red): Grammar mistakes and other writing changes (writing or rewriting words, adding or removing punctuation, adding or removing writing spaces, etc.) - The authors should delete some words (I highlighted them in green). - The authors must mention references to some paragraphs, which I highlighted in yellow. - Reference 16 is missing from the text. - Use [] instead of () for writing the numbers of the references in the text. - In the references list, the authors should include all of the authors of the references, removing et al. if possible. - The publisher names in the reference list should be in italics. - et al. is written in italics. - Also, they should review all the references in the references list, follow the Vancouver referencing style, and write them in the correct order. - No ethical issues were mentioned in the manuscript and must be addressed. - Authors should follow the journal's instructions for writing the manuscript and layout numbers. <p>5- Yes.</p> <p>6- Yes, sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>- Yes, but it needs minor revisions.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alaaelden Balal Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Omdurman Islamic University, Sudan