

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_95916
Title of the Manuscript:	SIZE STRUCTURES, LENGTH-WEIGHT RELATIONSHIPS AND CONDITION FACTORS OF <i>Synodontis obesus</i> (BOULENGER, 1898: SILURIFORMES, MOCHOKIDAE) IN THE LOWER CROSS RIVER, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. This manuscript is important for fisheries/bology/environmental scientists concenered with fish stock assement and policy formations for a sustainable fishery. It provides information about a fish specie that has rarely been studied yet commercially important. 2. Title is suitable. 3. Aim of the experiment is not captured in the abstract. 4. Structure and subsections are appropriate 5. Manuscript is scientifically correct 6. References are sufficent and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	In decsribing the climatic condition of the study area, it is stated that the area is permanently wet and recieves a raifall amount of up to 4000m. This is a high amount of rainfall that the area could possibly never have a dry season.	
Optional/General comments	In figure 2,3 and 5, caption each graph independently	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Javan Ominde
Department, University & Country	University of Eldoret, Kenya