

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_95915
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF LENGTH-WEIGHT RELATIONSHIP OF <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> (Nile Tilapia, Cichlidae, LINAEUS 1758) FROM QUA IBOE RIVER ESTUARY, SOUTHERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This study provides the basic information which could enhance the production potential of <i>O. niloticus</i> and its sustainable development, culture and management in Qua Iboe River Estuary, Nigeria.</p> <p>2. Suitable and appropriate</p> <p>3. Comprehensive and self-explanatory</p> <p>4. Manuscript subsections are appropriate</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p> <p>7. Ref., Tyler and Gallucci, 1980, Khaironizam and Norma-Rashidi, 2002, Udo et al., 2008, Ojuok et al (2000), Njiru et al (2006), Okeyo (2019), Asmamaw et al.(2019) , Bankole (1989), Ojuok et al. (2000) , Njim et al (2006) , Onta et al (2014) , Mossad (1990) , Martin (1949) , Asmawa et al (2019), Imam et al. (2010) , not in reference list.</p> <p>8. Ref. Abari, et al. (2015), Akpan A.W., (1991), Anderson, R. O., Gutreuter, S. S. (1985). Carlander, K.D., 1969, , Ekpo, I. M. (2014), F. Witte, (1985), Gondswaard K.P.C and F.Witte, 1997 , Ighwela A., Ahmed B., Abol-Munafi B (2011)., Imaobong Ekpo et al., , (2014), Kaufman, L.S. (1992) , Kharonizam, M. Z. and Norma-Rashid, Y. (2002)., King, R.P. (1990), Le Cren, E.D. (1951)., Morey, G. J. et al., (2003), Mosaad, M. N. M. (1990). , Moutopoulos D. K. and Stergiou, K.I. (2002) , Nsikak Okon Abiaobo, et al., (2021), Priede , I. G. and Secombes, C.J. (1988)., Reynold, T. D (1968). , Roest F. C. Frielink, A. O. (1985) , Seyoum T.A. , Tesch, W. (1971), Turay , I. (1993). ,not quoted in the text</p> <p>9. As per Journal Format, the references and citations in the text should be identified using numbers in square brackets and listed at the ends of the manuscript.</p> <p>10. Blue Color indicate corrected and Red color indicate need corrections</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Javed Gafur Khan Pathan
Department, University & Country	College of Fishery Science, Maharashtra Animal & Fishery Sciences University, India