

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_95779
Title of the Manuscript:	Thermoregulation in Sheep and Goats: Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. An attempt has been made to review the topic. Yes. The Abstract needs to be strengthened Subsections and structure are alright The author(s) have made an effort to review the topic. The author(s) may be asked to add some more relevant references so as to strengthen the review.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is alright. However, I have carried out some edits.	
Optional/General comments	The reference of Berihulay et al (2019) is cited twenty two times in this review. Hence it appears that it is more of a review of the research published by Berihulay et al. The authors need to address this aspect. At times, a lot of information is repeated again and again which makes the review look weak. The term 'Sheep and goats' is repeated very often. This could be substituted by the words 'small ruminants'. The authors may add some more relevant references to this review to strengthen it. Recheck of references required. The authors need to recast the review in a more focussed manner. My comments on the MS may be addressed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gopalapillai Gopikrishna
Department, University & Country	ICAR-CIBA, India