

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_95779
Title of the Manuscript:	Thermoregulation in Sheep and Goats: Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is very important for areas related to the main topic it covers</p> <p>It is suggested as a new title: Thermoregulation in Sheep and Goats: A Review</p> <p>Yes. The summary is understandable</p> <p>In my opinion they are appropriate. The authors manage to address several themes related to thermoregulation in the topics presented,</p> <p>Literature review studies are always well regarded when well written.</p> <p>No suggestions for references. It is recommended to use more studies to reference the research, in addition to those already existing in the reference list</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There could be quotes from the literature in the "Introduction" topic. Even the information contained in this topic having been taken from the bibliographic review carried out, it is believed that it is important to have the references.</p> <p>It is necessary to finish well the topic "Introduction". In the text, the phrase "It assesses the multiple genes that are involved in thermoregulation" that ends this part seems to be loose in the text and needs a conclusion.</p> <p>It is recommended to use a larger number of studies as a theoretical reference. In a review as comprehensive as the one proposed, the authors need to have considerable support from other studies and not just a few studies in which a large part of their text is transcribed for a new review study. As an example, this is often used with reference to Berihulay et al. (2019).</p> <p>It is necessary to organize the references used according to the rules of the journal</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alan Kelbis Oliveira Lima
Department, University & Country	University of Brasília, Brazil