

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_96764
Title of the Manuscript:	Detailing Health and Safety measures during the organization of a major international sporting event in Eastern India: an observational review
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The article is an Original Research work on <i>Detailing Health and Safety measures during the organization of a major international sporting event in Eastern India: an observational review</i>. It contributes to an important aspect of health sciences and event management. Overall, it is a good article and may be accepted after the suggested changes have been implemented.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is very general and may be improved. It is suggested that the title will be better suited as, <i>Detailing Health and Safety measures during the organization of a major international sporting event in Eastern India during COVID-19 pandemic: An observational review</i>.</p> <p>3. The abstract can be made more concise and robust by including the main aspects of methodology i.e., the questionnaire survey, results and conclusions in a more precise way.</p> <p>4. The conclusions and recommendations sections should be separate with the recommendations section being bulleted one.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>Additional comments:</p> <p>i. The keywords are missing from the article. The author(s) should include 4-5 keywords written in alphabetical order separated by semicolons.</p> <p>ii. The authors should include some photographs of the actual sites, stadium crowds, COVID-19 management tools at the stadium, etc., for better understanding of the research.</p> <p>iii. The Longitude and Latitude of the study areas should also be mentioned for better clarity to readers not well versed with the locations by name. The author(s) may please take a note of it.</p> <p>iv. The author(s) should include a table detailing the demographic profile of the respondents.</p> <p>v. The author(s) should define IEC at the first mention in the article.</p> <p>vi. The author(s) should add a "future scope of study" section after the conclusion section, describing in brief how this current research can help in future research.</p> <p>vii. The authors should maintain consistency in the formatting of the article. There are too many variations in formatting at different places in the article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English and formatting in the article need some work before re-submission. A lot of such mistakes in the article have been highlighted for the author(s) to take note of.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avdesh Bhardawaj
Department, University & Country	India