

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_96489
Title of the Manuscript:	SONOGRAPHIC ASSESSMENT OF MATERNAL PORTAL VEIN DIAMETER IN HEALTHY PREGNANCY IN SOUTH-SOUTH NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. This study focused for south-south nigeria population</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. The objectives and the method of analysis should be revise</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. In my opinion, the analysis was not appropriate (only based on pearson correlation and t test/anova). To define baseline and correlation matrix for nomogram, the regression analysis should be used. Considering there was some factors that may influence the result.</p> <p>6. References have 15 articles that dated for more than 10 years</p> <p>Introduction The study aims should be revise. This study was aim to define nomogram, but the analysis pearson correlation and t test/anova</p> <p>Sample size calculation Please consider deleting it. Sample size calculation was seldom presented in articles unless this point was important in the discussion section.</p> <p>Paragraph after exclusion criteria Please revise. Make it more straightforward, as some have already mentioned in the procedure section. Combine these paragraphs with the next paragraph.</p> <p>Table 1 Please revise. Column divided into characteristic; n (%); mean (SD). Combine frequency and %</p> <p>Please revise. In table 3, there were various multiparous, but in table 1, only one multiparous.</p> <p>Figure 2 Please consider revising. Figure 2 should be presented in Table 1. Mean and SD. The abnormal distribution of portal vein was not discussed in the articles, thus no need to present the histogram</p> <p>Table 3 Please revise. Column frequency should not be presented because the data is already presented in table 1.</p> <p>Table 5 Please consider revising. No need to be presented in tables; show in a narrative paragraph.</p> <p>References Please revise. There were 15 articles dated more than ten years..</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indrayadi Gunardi
Department, University & Country	Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia