

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_96442
Title of the Manuscript:	Intracorneal glass foreign body in a case of spectacle glass injury
Type of the Article	Case report

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	This is a case report of spectacle glass injury with intracorneal foreign body. Such cases are not rare in the daily practice of the emergency ophthalmology service. The method of care is described in every ophthalmology textbook . The case report is correctly written, the title and abstract correspond to the topic. However, there are some questions that I would like the authors to answer. How do you explain the fact that this patient had a loss of vision 3 hours after the injury with only intracorneal foreign body? In the first photo the eye looks like it had a perforating injury, with hypothy. Was the Seidel test performed? Also, the picture after healing shows multiple linear scars on the cornea, which also indicates that there was probably a deeper injury to the cornea, most likely a perforative one. Why did the patient have corneal edema? The references are up to date and more than sufficient.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	English language editing needed.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marija Bozic
Department, University & Country	University of Belgrade, Serbia