

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_96394
Title of the Manuscript:	ULTRASONIC MEASUREMENT OF LEFT VENTRICULAR MASS INDEX OF HYPERTENSIVE AND NORMOTENSIVE ADULTS IN A TERTIARY HOSPITAL IN SOUTH SOUTH NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Abstract</b> Gender is the significant predictor in this study. The author should mention about the dominant gender in conclusion of the abstract.  <b>Methodology</b> 1. This was a prospective case control study that involved <b>adult participants</b> (males and females) with essential hypertension Inclusion criteria for participants were males and females who were known to have essential hypertension and between the <b>age of 35 and 85 years</b> Both are conflicted 2. Same age group in Control group too. 3. Should be mentioned the Study population in methodology 4. If the gender is predictor in this study should select equal participants in both gender. But here, the gender was not equal <b>147(49%) males and 153 (51%) females</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

**Review Form 1.7**

Name:	<b>Krishna Sujeethasai</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka</b>