

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_96210
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF LONG COVID SYNDROME DEGREESEVERITY ON THE QUALITY OF LIFE OF COVID-19 SURVIVORS AGED 15-64 YEARS OLD AT GOTONG ROYONG HOSPITAL, SURABAYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	The topic of research is very relevant and important in the field of medical science. The title is expressive which reveals the planned research work in the article. The objectives set to achieve were clearly highlighted as embedded in the Title, scope and significance of the study. The review of literature was up to date. The review had a copious citation of all publications reviewed. They are very current with many of them appearing in recent years.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Nil	
Optional/General comments	I appreciate the author for the excellent research work done by him / her and recommend that the article be accepted for the publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Thanuskodi
Department, University & Country	Alagappa University, India